

EXHIBIT 9

CONFIDENTIAL
ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

FAIR ISAAC CORPORATION, a)	
Delaware corporation,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 16-cv-1054 (WMW/DTS)
)	
FEDERAL INSURANCE COMPANY, an)	
Indiana corporation, and ACE AMERICAN)	
INSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennsylvania)	
Corporation,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

**EXPERT REPORT OF
W. CHRISTOPHER BAKEWELL
REGARDING DAMAGES**

May 17, 2019

Respectfully Submitted,



W. Christopher Bakewell

CONFIDENTIAL
ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY

written premiums ran through both the CUW and CSI eXPRESS applications, its associated gross written premiums would appear twice in Mr. Zoltowski's analysis, and he would conclude that Blaze Advisor contributed \$200 of gross written premiums. As a result, by simply aggregating the gross written premiums, Mr. Zoltowski may double or triple (or more) count certain gross written premiums, leading to an inflated and inaccurate total gross written premium amount.

180. One way that the magnitude of the overstatement contained in Mr. Zoltowski's calculations can be illustrated is by comparing Mr. Zoltowski's figures to the total (actual) gross written premiums reported on Federal's business segments and lines of business financial statements over the same time period:

**Comparison of Gross Written Premiums Between Federal's Business Segment/Line of Business
Financials and Mr. Zoltowski**
(in US\$ millions)

	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Business Segment/Line of Business Financials [1]	\$ 167	\$ 223	\$ 332	\$ 7,016	\$ 6,910	\$ 6,353
Mr. Zoltowski	\$ 203	\$ 283	\$ 569	\$ 10,775	\$ 11,542	\$ 8,992
% Greater than Business Segment/Line of Business Financials	22%	27%	71%	54%	67%	42%

Note:

[1] The UK financials were only for the years 2016 to 2018. The 2013 to 2015 figures reflect the Europe gross written premiums from Mr. Zoltowski's analysis for illustrative purposes.

181. As this shows, Mr. Zoltowski's calculated gross written premiums exceed Federal's *total* reported gross written premiums in Federal's business segments and lines of business profit and loss statements over the same period(s), a conclusion that is not justifiable or reasonable. The profit and loss statements for the business segments and lines of business include all of the gross written premiums earned by the company, including policies connected to Blaze Advisor, as well as policies that did not use Blaze Advisor. By definition, the gross written premiums reported in the business segments and line of business profit and loss statements should be greater than the gross written premiums relied upon by Mr. Zoltowski. But they

are not. And nowhere in his report does Mr. Zoltowski perform any such tests of reasonableness.

182. Federal also prepared the revised gross written premiums for CUW (a domestic application), an inventory management tool that is often used in conjunction with other applications when writing a single insurance policy.²⁵⁸ In this revised data, removed are any policies which ran through both CUW and another application, specifically CSI eXPRESS or Premium Booking and the resulting data shows policies that only ran through the CUW application. The policies (and associated gross written premiums) which ran through both CUW and CSI eXPRESS or Premium Booking would have also been captured in the gross written premiums data relied upon by Mr. Zoltowski for CSI eXPRESS or Premium Booking applications (as discussed in the example above). Using this revised CUW data effectively removes the duplication of certain gross written premiums in the CUW data used by Mr. Zoltowski. For example, in Mr. Zoltowski's analysis, the premiums associated with any single policy which touched each of CUW, CSI eXPRESS and Premium Booking would be counted three times in the total gross written premiums.

183. When comparing this revised data to the data used by Mr. Zoltowski, the overstatement of gross written premiums is further illustrated:²⁵⁹

**Comparison of the Gross Written Premiums for the CUW
Application Between Federal's Revised CUW Data and the Data
Used by Mr. Zoltowski**
(in US\$ millions)

	2016	2017	2018
Revised CUW Data	\$ 3,819	\$ 2,526	\$ 2,149
Mr. Zoltowski	\$ 7,579	\$ 8,533	\$ 6,201
<i>% Greater than the Revised CUW Data</i>	98%	238%	189%

²⁵⁸ File titled: "Blaze IM Extract-Final".

²⁵⁹ I understand that the premiums from IRMA and TAPS would likely already be included in CUW premiums so the domestic premium are likely still overstated.

184. The above table shows that the CUW gross written premiums relied upon by Mr. Zoltowski, are greater than the gross written premiums per the revised data prepared by Federal. Again, the CUW gross written premium data relied upon by Mr. Zoltowski included policies (and associated gross written premiums) that were captured multiple times in the data for other applications such as CSI eXPRESS and Premium Bookings.²⁶⁰ I understand that the revised CUW data eliminates any policies that also ran through CSI eXPRESS and Premium Bookings.²⁶¹ This prevents double counting when the totals for each application are added together.

Mr. Zoltowski Does Not Deduct Any of Federal's Costs That Were Incurred To Earn the Gross Written Premiums

185. Mr. Zoltowski states that it is his understanding that “the copyright owner is required to present proof of only the infringer’s gross revenue.”²⁶² According to Mr. Zoltowski, “as a result, the damages presented related to copyright infringement damages reflect the dollar amounts associated with the gross written premiums through Federal’s allegedly infringing use of Blaze Advisor.”²⁶³ On only this basis, Mr. Zoltowski calculates his estimate of total gross revenue as represented by gross written premiums only.

186. However, Mr. Zoltowski performed no analysis to determine if any of the revenues he captured had any link (*i.e.*, nexus) to the allegations of this case, or to the value provided by the accused software. I explained at length above why this is problematic. Moreover, compounding his error of having a lack of nexus, Mr. Zoltowski provided no consideration of the costs and expenses that are necessary for Federal to earn such revenue.

187. Instead of engaging in financial analysis, or investigating issues that relate to value (as he claimed he did), Mr. Zoltowski’s simply aggregated Federal’s gross written premium (*i.e.*,

²⁶⁰ Interview of Mr. McCarthy.

²⁶¹ Interview of Mr. McCarthy.

²⁶² Zoltowski Report, p. 43.

²⁶³ Zoltowski Report, p. 43.

Fair Isaac Corporation v. Federal Insurance Company**Exhibit 9.0**

Summary of the Revised CUW Data Prepared by Federal for the Years 2016 to 2018

(in US\$)

Writing Company Name	Year of Effective Date of Policy [1]		
	2016	2017	2018
ACE AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
ACE FIRE UNDERWRITERS INSURANCE COMPANY	-	-	-
ACE PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY	-	-	-
ALLIANCE ASSURANCE COMPANY	-	-	-
CHUBB ARGENTINA DE SEGUROS S.A.	1,163	-	-
CHUBB CUSTOM INSURANCE COMPANY	78,726,313	23,609,062	22,013,565
CHUBB DE MEXICO	-	-	-
CHUBB DE MEXICO COMPANIA DE SEGUROS, S.A.	15,358	16,435	-
CHUBB EUROPEAN GROUP SE	154,603	62,376	-
CHUBB INDEMNITY INSURANCE COMPANY	238,730,739	182,692,863	128,841,814
CHUBB INSURANCE AUSTRALIA LIMITED	58,977	-	-
CHUBB INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED	52,541	6,136	-
CHUBB INSURANCE COMPANY OF CANADA	125,228	6,754	-
CHUBB INSURANCE COMPANY OF NEW JERSEY	44,501,973	20,305,777	20,310,216
CHUBB LLOYDS INSURANCE COMPANY OF TEXAS	-	-	-
CHUBB NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY	29,181,294	29,482,878	28,061,704
CHUBB SEGUROS BRASIL, S.A.	27,605	643	-
CHUBB SEGUROS CHILE S.A.	-	-	-
DIVISIONAL REINSURANCE CEDED	-	-	-
EXECUTIVE RISK INDEMNITY INC.	2,534,222	185,443	1,661,561
EXECUTIVE RISK SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY	1,821,133	117,904	2,928
FEDERAL INSURANCE COMPANY	2,567,477,880	1,637,912,514	1,404,328,456
GREAT NORTHERN INSURANCE COMPANY	492,561,623	361,198,461	343,254,047
NORTHWESTERN PACIFIC INDEMNITY COMPANY	-	-	-
PACIFIC INDEMNITY COMPANY	198,358,535	164,186,063	116,800,656
SAMSUNG FIRE & MARINE INSURANCE CO., LTD	-	-	-
SUN INSURANCE OFFICE OF AMERICA INC.	-	-	-
TEXAS PACIFIC INDEMNITY COMPANY	-	-	-
THE SEA INSURANCE COMPANY	-	-	-
VIGILANT INSURANCE COMPANY	164,280,405	106,322,827	83,645,205
N/A	39,544	-	-
Total	\$ 3,818,649,134	\$ 2,526,106,134	\$ 2,148,920,154

Source:

[1] Source: File titled "Blaze IM Extract-Final"